

Cryptography

Quantum
Computing and
Cryptography

Quantum Computing and Cryptography

Cryptography

School of Engineering and Technology
CQUniversity Australia

Prepared by Steven Gordon on 04 Jan 2022,
quantum.tex, r1971

Cryptography

Contents

Quantum
Computing and
Cryptography

Quantum Computing

Quantum
Computing

Quantum
Algorithms

Quantum Algorithms

Issues in Quantum
Computing

Issues in Quantum Computing

Quantum
Cryptography

Quantum Cryptography

Cryptography in
the Quantum Era

Cryptography in the Quantum Era

Quantum Technology (definition)

Emerging technologies that build upon concepts of quantum physics, especially superposition and entanglement. Includes quantum computing and quantum cryptography.

Note that before quantum physics we had “classical” physics. Similar, we will differentiate between quantum computers and classical computers (those that we know and use everyday). Also, roughly, quantum physics and quantum mechanics means the same thing in this discussion, and we refer to quantum-mechanical systems.

To arrive at an explanation of a quantum computer, as well as quantum cryptography, we will step through some of the basic principles/ideas. First we will look at how information is represented in

bit (definition)

Binary digit, 0 or 1, as the basic unit of information in classical computers. For example stored as electric charges in capacities or with magnets in hard disks. Communicated with electrical or optical pulses. A bit has two states: 0 or 1.

A bit is defined, in an informal manner, just for reference.

qubit (definition)

Quantum bit has states represented in a quantum-mechanical system. The state of a qubit is a vector. A qubit has two *basis states*, $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, but many possible states in between. Often represented using subatomic particles such as electrons or photons.

The key distinguishing feature of qubits compared to bits is that qubits have many possible states, not just 0 and 1.

The notation used is not so important here; it is just a short way that we can identify the two basis states which are similar to bit 0 and bit 1. We will see next how the qubit is expressed when in the “in between” states.

Quantum Superposition (definition)

Any two (or more) quantum states can be added together to form another quantum state. That result is a superposition of the original states.

Superposition is a concept seen in other systems, but quantum superposition is the main concept that delivers powerful innovations with quantum computers.

qubit Superposition (example)

Basis state $|0\rangle$ is like bit 0. Basis state $|1\rangle$ is like bit 1. The state $0.6|0\rangle + 0.8|1\rangle$ is an example of a superposition of the two basis states, and forms another state of the qubit. Another example state is $0.866|0\rangle + 0.5|1\rangle$. In general, a superposition state is $\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$, where $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$.

You may think of the concept as superposition as follows. A classical bit has the value 0 or 1. A qubit has the value of 0 or 1, or a value that is both 0 and 1 at the same time.

An important point is that the weights, α and β , can be controlled. This is the key part of how qubits are used in calculations, as next we see that measuring a qubit returns 0 or 1 with some probability.

The Measurement Problem (definition)

Measuring a qubit gives the bit 0 with probability α^2 and bit 1 with probability β^2 . After measurement the qubit enters (collapses into) the basis state.

There are two important issues about measuring a qubit. First, the result will either be 0 or 1. However when the qubit is in a superposition state of $\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$, then we don't know in advance which value will be output from the measurement. But we do know that with probability α^2 it will be bit 0 and with probability β^2 it will be bit 1. By controlling the weights, α and β , we can increase the probability that a useful output will be measured.

The other issue is that upon measurement, the qubit reverts to one of the basis states. It will no longer be a superposition of states.

Quantum Entanglement (definition)

Pair of particles are dependent on each other, meaning the quantum state of one particle impacts on the other.

Quantum entanglement is another concept, which you may hear about when referring to quantum communications and quantum teleportation. We will not cover it in any depth here, but present a simple example in the following.

Entanglement can be achieved for example by firing a laser at a crystal that causes two photons to split but be entangled.

qubit Entanglement (example)

If 2 qubits are entangled, then if one qubit is measured to be 0, then the other qubit will also be measured to be 0 (and similar if measured as 1).

Experiments have had qubits entangled over distances of 10's of kilometres.

Quantum Computation (informal) (definition)

A quantum computation starts with a set of qubits, modifies their states to perform some intended calculation, and then measures the result.

This definition of quantum computation is quite vague. How are the states of the qubits modified? Using logic gates to form circuits. One point to note is that at the end the result is measured. As noted before, measuring a quantum system will return some binary value with some probability *and* collapses any superpositions. This means that any speed up to be potentially be obtained by quantum computing needs to be done before the measurement.

Classical Computer Circuits (definition)

Circuits in classical computers are built from logic gates, such as AND, NOT, OR, XOR, NAND and NOR.

Note that AND and NOT gates are the universal set: everything else can be built from them.

Quantum Computer Circuits (definition)

Circuits in quantum computers are built from quantum logic gates. Single-bit gates include NOT, Hadamard, Phase and Shift gates; two-bit gates include Controlled NOT and SWAP; as well as 3-qubit Toffoli and Fredkin gates. Not all quantum gates have analogous operation with classical gates.

A single-bit gate takes a single qubit as input and produces a single qubit as output.

Quantum Computer (definition)

A (digital) quantum computer is built from a set of quantum logic gates, i.e. quantum circuits, and is said to perform quantum computation on qubits. An analog quantum computer also operates on qubits, but rather than using logic gates, using concepts of quantum simulation and quantum annealing.

We are only covering a digital quantum computer. The topics of quantum simulation and quantum annealing are not covered here.

Cryptography

Contents

Quantum
Computing and
Cryptography

Quantum Computing

Quantum
Computing

Quantum
Algorithms

Quantum Algorithms

Issues in Quantum
Computing

Issues in Quantum Computing

Quantum
Cryptography

Quantum Cryptography

Cryptography in
the Quantum Era

Cryptography in the Quantum Era

Quantum Register (definition)

A quantum register is a set of n qubits. With a classical 2-bit register, there are four possible states: 00, 01, 10 and 11. A quantum 2-bit register can be in all four states at one time, as it is a superposition of the four states:

$\alpha|00\rangle + \beta|01\rangle + \gamma|10\rangle + \delta|11\rangle$. Measuring the register will return one of the four states, with probability depending on the weights.

For example, if the two qubits are constructed so that $\beta = 0$ and $\delta = 0$, and $\alpha = \gamma = 1/\sqrt{2}$, then there is 50% probability of measuring 00 and 50% probability of measuring 10. There is no chance of measuring 01 or 11.

Quantum Parallelism (definition)

Consider a circuit that takes x as input and returns $f(x)$ as output. Normally, passing in an input, sees the function applied once, and one output produced. Using quantum gates, such as a Fredkin gate, if x is a quantum register with a superposition of states, it is passed as input and the function is applied once. But the function operates on all of the states of the quantum register, returning output that contains information about the function applied to all states.

The parallelism that can be achieved is the promising feature of quantum computing. The following example aims to illustrate the idea.

Classical Function (example)

Consider the function $f(x) = 3x \bmod 8$. Assume we want to calculate all possible answers for $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 7$. With a classical computer we would have a 3-bit input to a circuit that calculates $f(x)$, i.e. performs the modular multiplication. To find all possible answers we would calculate $f(0) = 0$, $f(1) = 3$, $f(2) = 6$, $f(3) = 1$, $f(4) = 4$, $f(5) = 7$, $f(6) = 2$, and $f(7) = 5$. The function/circuit is applied 8 times.

The above example used decimal values, but also consider their binary values, i.e. the function is applied to 8 values: 000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110 and 111.

Quantum Function (example)

Now consider the same function, $f(x) = 3x \bmod 8$, but implemented with a quantum circuit. We initialise a quantum register with 3 qubits. This register is in a superposition of 8 states at once: 000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110 and 111. The quantum register is input to the circuit. The output register will have 3 qubits in a superposition that contains *all 8 answers*. By applying the function/circuit only once, we obtain an output that has information about all 8 answers. This represents a speedup of a factor of 8 compared to the classical example!

While this a contrived example with many real flaws, it aims to demonstrate that quantum parallelism is achieved by the fact that the quantum calculation is one all states of the quantum register, rather than just a single value as in classical computing.

You should already recognise a problem with the above example. While the output quantum register contains qubits in a superposition that contains information about all 8 answers, when we measure the output register we get just one of those answers with some probability, i.e. the measurement problem. If the probabilities were all equal, i.e. 12.5%, then when we measure the output we would get a value of 000 with probability 12.5%. If we did it again, we may get 011 with probability 12.5%. So the answer is essentially useless to us; we'd need to calculate 8 times, resulting in the same effort as a classical computer. Quantum algorithms are designed so that the weights/probabilities of the output do give the "correct" answer with high probability.

Quantum Algorithm (definition)

A quantum algorithms are usually a combination of classical algorithms/computations and quantum computations. First pre-processing is performed using classical techniques. Then the input quantum register is prepared, a quantum calculation performed, and output quantum register is measured. There may be some post-processing of the result with classical techniques. If the result is as desired, then exit, otherwise repeat the process. Repetition is usually needed due to both errors in quantum calculations and the probabilistic nature of the result.

The main point to note is that “quantum” algorithms actually are a hybrid of classical algorithms and quantum calculations.

Grover's Search Algorithm (definition)

Consider a database of N unstructured data items (e.g. not sortable). Search is performed by applying a boolean function on input that returns true if correct answer. Classical search takes $\mathcal{O}(N)$ applications of function. Grover's quantum search algorithm takes $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N})$ applications of function.

Grover's search algorithm can be used for a brute-force attack. For example with a symmetric key cipher, assume we have a function that decrypts the ciphertext and returns true if the obtained plaintext is correct.

Worst Case Brute Force Attempts with Classical and Quantum Algorithms

Key length [bits]	Classical	Quantum
64	2^{64}	$\sqrt{2^{64}} = 2^{32}$
128	2^{128}	$\sqrt{2^{128}} = 2^{64}$
256	2^{256}	$\sqrt{2^{256}} = 2^{128}$
512	2^{512}	$\sqrt{2^{512}} = 2^{256}$

The table on slide 22 shows worst case number of attempts a brute-force attack on a key , using either a classical algorithm or Grover's quantum search algorithm. Note that $\sqrt{2^N} = 2^{N/2}$. While the quantum algorithm produces a significant speedup, with regards to protecting symmetric key ciphers against brute force attacks using quantum computers, an easy solution is to double the key length. That is, if a 128-bit key was recommended as secure against brute force attacks using today's classical computers, then to be secure against brute force attacks with future quantum computers, use a 256-bit key. While using a double length key incurs a performance drop for AES, it is not so substantial that makes AES too slow to use, and does not require a new algorithm design.

Integer Factorisation with General Number Field Sieve (definition)


Given an integer N , find its prime factors. A general number field sieve on classical computer takes subexponential time, about $2^{\mathcal{O}(N^{1/3})}$.

Integer Factorisation with Schor's Algorithm (definition)

Given an integer N , find its prime factors. Shor's algorithm on a quantum computer takes polynomial time, about $\log N$.

The paper A Blueprint For Building a Quantum Computer by Rodney Van Meter and Clare Horsman, published in Communications of the ACM, October 2013, has compared the speeds for specific implementations of algorithms on classical and quantum computers. Note that the following results are mainly theoretical, estimating the performance based on several actual measurements with smaller numbers.

Scaling the classical number field sieve (NFS) vs. Shor's quantum algorithm for factoring




Credit: Figure 1 from A Blueprint For Building a Quantum Computer by Van Meter and Horsman, Communications of the ACM, Oct 2013. Copyright by Van Meter and Horsman and ACM.

The figure on slide 25 shows estimated time to factor a L-bit number. The number field sieve on the solid black line is using a classical computer. The cross on that line is for the point of L=768 bits and 3300 CPU years. The NIST recommended key length is L=2048 bits. The lines labelled with Shor are using a quantum computer. The four lines for Shor are different algorithms and architectures, as well as different quantum clock speeds (1Hz vs 1MHz).

One way to read the figure is to look at the number of bits that can be factored in 1 year. A 1GHz classical computer using number field sieve could factor a 500 bit number. A quantum computer using Shor's algorithm and with a 1 Hz clock could factor a 80 bit number. But with a 1 MHz clock it could factor a 8000 bit number.

Likelihood quantum computers significant threat to public-key cryptosystems



Numbers reflect how many experts (out of 22) assigned a certain probability range.

Credit: Quantum Threat Timeline Report, Michele Mosca and Marco Piani, from evolutionQ and the Global Risk Institute, 2019.

The figure on slide 26, from the Quantum Threat Timeline Report, shows the opinions of 22 quantum computing experts. Most think quantum computing will not be a threat to public-key cryptosystems in the next 5 years, and more than half, also in the next 10 years. Almost all think there is a 50% or greater chance that quantum computing will threaten RSA in the next 20 years.

Cryptography

Contents

Quantum
Computing and
Cryptography

Quantum Computing

Quantum
Computing

Quantum
Algorithms

Quantum Algorithms

Issues in Quantum
Computing

Issues in Quantum Computing

Quantum
Cryptography

Quantum Cryptography

Cryptography in
the Quantum Era

Cryptography in the Quantum Era

Decoherence in Quantum Computing (definition)

In their coherent state, qubits are described as a superposition of states. The loss of coherence (i.e. decoherence) means the qubits revert to their “classical” basis states. They no longer exhibit the unique quantum properties.

Decoherence times vary for different system; for example IBM quantum computers about 100 μs .


Increasing the time that qubits can hold their coherent state is one practical aim of quantum computing. See the T2 column in the Quantum Computing Report for example values.

Errors in Quantum Computing (definition)

Errors frequently occur due to various reasons including: decay of individual qubits; environmental defects that impact multiple qubits; interference between qubits and other systems; accidental measurement of qubits; and even loss of qubits. Significant research effort is on designing error correcting schemes.

Error correcting schemes introduce an overhead, and one concern is that the overhead needed to deal with errors may mean quantum computing does not produce significant advantages over classical computing.

Quantum error rates vs qubits and intended direction of Google Quantum Research



Credit: Google Research, A Preview of Bristlecone, Google's New Quantum Processor

The figure on slide 30, taken from A Preview of Bristlecone, Google's New Quantum Processor by Google Quantum AI Lab, illustrates the conceptual relationship between error rates and qubits. The error correction threshold indicates error rates below this are needed for error correction to work.

Cooling (definition)

For qubits to maintain coherence, quantum circuits need to be very cold, approaching 0 Kelvin or -273 C.

Quantum Computers in Practice

- ▶ For more detailed comparison see the Quantum Computing Report
- ▶ Google: Sycamore 53-qubit (2019)
- ▶ IBM: 5- and 16-qubit machines available for free; 20-qubit machine available via cloud; 53-qubit machine (2019)
- ▶ Rigetti: Aspen-7 28-qubits (2019)
- ▶ D-Wave systems: 2000Q has 2048-qubits, however using different technology (quantum annealing) that cannot be used to solve Shor's algorithm

Cryptography

Contents

Quantum
Computing and
Cryptography

Quantum Computing

Quantum
Computing

Quantum
Algorithms

Quantum Algorithms

Issues in Quantum
Computing

Issues in Quantum Computing

Quantum
Cryptography

Quantum Cryptography

Cryptography in
the Quantum Era

Cryptography in the Quantum Era

Quantum Cryptography (definition)

Quantum cryptography refers to techniques that apply principles of quantum systems to build cryptographic mechanisms. The most widely technique is quantum key distribution. Others approaches often involve agreements between parties that do not trust each other.

Note that while quantum computers can be used to break cryptographic mechanisms (e.g. using Schor's algorithm), quantum cryptography is separate topic of quantum systems that is about creating cryptographic mechanisms. Quantum cryptographic mechanisms will use quantum computers.

Quantum Key Distribution (informal) (definition)

The aim of QKD is for two parties to exchange a secret key (similar to DHKE). A chooses random bits, as well as corresponding random modification of states (called *sending basis*). Applied together using a fixed scheme, A generates and sends photons in quantum states. B chooses own random *measuring basis* and measures the photons. A then informs B their sending basis, and allowing B to recognise which of the measured photons to consider (i.e. those where the measuring basis and sending basis match). B uses the resulting bits as a secret key, however only after confirming with A that there are no errors in the key (e.g. sending a challenge encrypted with the key).

For a formal explanation of QKD, with an example see: <https://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse571-07/ftp/quantum/> or the original paper on one scheme BB84 at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2014.05.025>.

Example of BB84 Quantum Key Distribution

QUANTUM TRANSMISSION																
Quantum Computing	Alice's random bits	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1
	Random sending bases	D	R	D	R	R	R	R	R	D	D	R	D	D	D	R
	Photons Alice sends	↗	↓	↘	↔	↓	↓	↔	↔	↘	↗	↓	↘	↗	↓	↓
	Random receiving bases	R	D	D	R	R	D	D	R	D	R	D	D	D	D	R
Quantum Algorithms	Bits as received by Bob	1		1		1	0	0	0		1	1	1		0	1
PUBLIC DISCUSSION																
Issues in Quantum Computing	Bob reports bases of received bits	R		D		R	D	D	R		R	D	D		D	R
	Alice says which bases were correct			OK		OK			OK				OK		OK	OK
	Presumably shared information (if no eavesdrop)			1		1			0				1		0	1
	Bob reveals some key bits at random					1									0	
Quantum Cryptography	Alice confirms them					OK									OK	
OUTCOME																
Cryptography in the Quantum Era	Remaining shared secret bits			1					0				1			1

Credit: Bennett and Brassard, Quantum cryptography: Public key distribution and coin tossing, Theoretical Computer Science, Dec 2014,

Copyright Elsevier.

The figure on slide 36 is taken from the original 1984 article by Bennet and Brassard, which was re-published by Elsevier in the journal Theoretical Computer Science in 2014. BB84 is a scheme still used for quantum key distribution. The paper, in section III, has a nice explanation of the protocol.

QKD security (informal) (definition)

An attacker C tries to learn the secret key between A and B, without A or B knowing. Therefore the attacker has to measure the photons sent by A.

However, as the photons are a superposition of states, when C measures them, they are changed. As a result, B will receive changed photons, and when they check the secret key with A, the check will fail.

The security of quantum key distribution depends on that measurement problem, i.e. that measuring a quantum superposition state, changes the state. The attacker cannot measure the communications between A and B without changing the communications. It is easy for A and B to recognise if the communications have been changed.

Cryptography

Contents

Quantum
Computing and
Cryptography

Quantum Computing

Quantum
Computing

Quantum
Algorithms

Quantum Algorithms

Issues in Quantum
Computing

Issues in Quantum Computing

Quantum
Cryptography

Quantum Cryptography

Cryptography in
the Quantum Era

Cryptography in the Quantum Era

Post-Quantum Cryptography

- ▶ NIST Post-Quantum Cryptography project called for proposals on quantum-resistant public key cryptography algorithms
 - ▶ Digital signatures, public-key encryption, key exchange
 - ▶ 69 submissions in round 1 (2017)
 - ▶ 26 algorithms in round 2 (2019)
 - ▶ 7 finalists in round 3 (2020)
 - ▶ Plan to standardise in 2022/2023
- ▶ Open Quantum Safe has open-source software for prototyping quantum-resistant cryptography, including forks of OpenSSL, OpenSSH and OpenVPN