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## Routing in Switched Networks

- A needs to send data to H - which path does it take?
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## Routing in Switched Networks

- Routing is a key design issue in switched networks
- Question: What path (route) should be taken from source to destination?
- Since there is often more than one path possible
- Answer: Choose the "best" path!
- What is "best", and how to choose it?
- Remember, real networks may have 100 's to $100,000+$ nodes, and many possible paths
- We will look at general routing strategies
- Routing is applicable to circuit-switched and packet-switched networks
- We will focus on packet-switched networks in our examples


## Requirements of Routing Algorithms

- A routing algorithm/protocol must meet many requirements:
- Correctness
- Must choose a path from intended source to intended destination
- Simplicity
- Easy and cheap to implement
- Robustness
- In presence of errors or overload in some parts of network, network should react to reduce load and find alternate paths
- Stability
- New paths (e.g. to avoid overload) should not be too frequent
- Optimality
- Choose best paths
- Fairness
- Some algorithms may give higher priority to stations close together (shorter paths) than further away (longer paths) - maybe efficient, but unfair to stations that want to communicate over long paths
- Efficiency
- Minimise the amount of processing and transmission overhead


## Example Network Configuration



Link: a direct connection between two nodes (such as via cable or wireless). E.g. link from N1 to N2 Path (or route): a track or way between two nodes, via one or more links. E.g. a path from N 1 to N6 is N1 - N2 - N3 - N6
Hop: traverse a link. E.g. there are 3 hops between N1 and N6 on path N1 - N2 - N3 - N6 Cost: some value assigned to links to allow Least Cost Routing algorithms to find a path Topology: the arrangement of nodes, and their links, in a network

## Elements of Routing Techniques

- A route is normally selected based on performance criteria
- A simple criteria: number of hops (select the path with least number of hops)
- In general, least-cost routing can be used:
- Costs are assigned to links, and a routing algorithm selects a path with least cost
- Cost can be different criteria or metrics:
- Number of hops
- Delay
- Link capacities
- Throughput (current utilisation)
- Queue length
- Error rates
- Financial cost
- Security levels
- Political/legal matters
- ...


## Examples of "Best" Paths



## Elements of Routing Techniques

- When and where do you make the routing decision?
- When to make a decision for a route?

1. For all packets, decision is made for the duration of network
2. For each packet a decision is made (datagram packet switching)
3. When setting up a virtual circuit, a route is chosen (virtual circuit packet switching)

- Which nodes chooses the route?
- Centralised: A single central node, e.g. in a Network Control Centre, decides on the routes used by all nodes
- If central node fails, entire network fails!
- Distributed: each node selects an output link for incoming packets (robust, but complex)
- E.g. To send to H, A decides to send to Switch 1; Switch 1 decides to send to Switch 3; Switch 3 decides to send to Switch 5; Switch 5 sends to H
- Most common approach today
- Source Routing: source station decides a route
- E.g. To send to H, A decides the path should be Switch 1 - Switch 3 - Switch 5


## Elements of Routing Techniques

- To make routing decisions, nodes need to know information about the network
- Topology, link costs, current usage of links and routers, ...
- How do nodes collect this information and how often is it updated?
- More frequent updates give more reliable information and hence better routing decisions
- But increases overhead (consumes network resources)
- Where does the information come from? The source of information may be:
- None: no information about the network is used. E.g. Switch 1 makes a decision without knowing about other links or nodes in network
- Local: use the information only known to the current node. E.g. Switch 1 makes a decision based on its 4 input/output links
- Adjacent node: your neighbour nodes send you information. E.g. Switch 1 makes a decision based on its input/output links, as well as input/output links of Switches 2 and 3
- Nodes along route: the nodes along the path/route send you information. E.g. Switch 1 makes a decision based on information from Switches 3 and 5.
- All nodes: all nodes send you information.


## Elements of Routing Techniques

- When does the information come? Updating may be:
- Never: if no information about the network is used, you never update!
- Continuous: if using local information, essentially you have access all the time. E.g. Switch 1 has continuous knowledge of its own link rates and usage
- Periodic: at regular intervals. E.g. Switch 3 tells Switch 1 about the current utilisation of links every 1 minute
- Major load change: in increase/decrease of traffic on a link. E.g. if utilisation of link from Switch 3 to 5 goes above $70 \%$, the Switch 3 tells Switch 1
- Topology change: a new node is added to or removed from network, or links are changed. E.g. the link from Switch 3 to 4 fails.


## Obtaining information about network

- We said nodes often need to know information about the network
- Topology, link costs, current usage, ...
- And this information may be updated during the network operation
- What are the practical methods for obtaining this information?
- The network administrator maintains the information:
- When a network is built, the network administrator knows some of this information
- When changes are made to the network (e.g. a new link added), the network administrator will be aware of this information
- In moderate sized to large networks (more than 10's of nodes), it is not practical for a person to manage the network manually
- Nodes involved in routing must automatically exchange information
- That is, the network is used to exchange information about the network
- A trade-off in routing algorithms and protocols is:
- Increasing the amount of routing information (in terms of size and frequency) that is exchanged, results in:
- Increased accuracy in routing decisions (GOOD)
- Decreased efficiency, since we use the network for exchanging routing information, instead of sending real data (BAD)


# Routing Strategies 

Fixed
Flooding
Random
Adaptive

## Strategy 1: Fixed Routing

- Use a single permanent route for each source to destination pair
- E.g. the route from A to H is ALWAYS via Switches 1,3 and 5
- The routes are determined using a least cost algorithm
- E.g. Dijkstra and Bellman-Ford algorithms for shortest path in a graph
- The metric can be anything. For example: monetary cost, delay, capacity, hops or a combination
- Route is fixed
- At least until a change in network topology (node/link added/deleted)
- Hence cannot respond to traffic changes (e.g. overload in one portion of the network)
- No difference between routing for datagrams and virtual circuits
- Advantage is simplicity
- You assign the routes at the start, and then nothing to do
- Disadvantage is lack of flexibility
- When the network is operating, changes in load may mean better routes than initially selected should be used


## Fixed Routing Example

- Consider the example network. You can manually determine least cost paths from any source to any destination (there are 30 paths in total)
- N1 to N6: 1-4-5-6 (cost = 4)
- N2 to N5: 2-4-5 (cost = 3)
- N4 to N6: 4-5-6 (cost = 3)
- N6 to N1: 6-5-4-2-1 (cost = 10)



## Fixed Routing Example

- Storing the least cost routes:
- A route is a path from one node to another
- E.g. Least cost route from N1 to N6 is: N1 - N4 - N5 - N6
- A node should have least cost routes to all other nodes in network
- However, there is no need to store the entire route
- Each node keeps track of the next node in the least cost route from itself to a destination
- E.g. N1 knows the next node in the path to destination N6 is N4
- And N4 knows the next node in the path to destination N6 is N5
- Routing Tables (or Directories)
- A node $S$ stores a routing table containing two columns:
- Destination Node, D
- Next Node in path, N
- "From source S, in order to reach destination D, send to next node N"
- (Alternatively, if using centralised routing, a central node may store all this information)


## Fixed Routing Example

Routing Table (Directory) stored on one centralised node
CENTRAL ROUTING DIRECTORY
From Node

|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To Node | 1 | - | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
|  | 2 | 2 | - | 5 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
|  | 3 | 4 | 3 | - | 5 | 3 | 5 |
|  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | - | 4 | 5 |
|  | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | - | 5 |
|  | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | - |

## Fixed Routing Example

Routing Table (Directories) distributed amongst nodes

Node 1 Directory

| Destination | Next Node |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 4 |
| 6 | 4 |

Node 4 Directory

| Destination | Next Node |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 5 |

Node 2 Directory

| Destination | Next Node |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 4 |
| 6 | 4 |

Node 5 Directory

| Destination | Next Node |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 6 | 6 |

Node 3 Directory

| Destination | Next Node |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 |
| 2 | 5 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 5 |

Node 6 Directory

| Destination | Next Node |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 |
| 2 | 5 |
| 3 | 5 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |

## Fixed Routing Summary

- When is a decision made for a route?
- At network startup
- Which node chooses the route?
- Centralised or distributed
- Where does the network information come from?
- All nodes
- When is the network information updated?
- Never
- In practice, only used for small, stable networks (10's of nodes)


## Strategy 2: Flooding

- Instead of choosing a route before sending the data, just send the data to everyone!
- It will eventually reach the intended destination
- Flooding:
- A copy of the original packet is sent to all neighbours of the source (that is, all nodes that have a link to the source)
- Each node that receives the packet, forwards a copy of the packet to all of its neighbours
- Some simple extensions to improve basic flooding:
- Don't send back to the node that just sent you the packet
- Only forward packet once: nodes remember which packets they have forwarded (based on sequence number and source/destination addresses); do not forward a packet if you have previously forwarded that same packet
- Duplicate detection: each packet has a sequence number, so if destination receives multiple copies of the same packet, it can discard the duplicates
- Limit the number of hops: include a "hop counter" in the packet; decrement the counter each time the packet is forwarded - if it is 0 , then discard the packet
- Limits the number of packets sent and useful if errors in the network (a packet will not be sent forever)


## Flooding Example

## Network topology



Node 1 has a packet to send to Node 6. Assume all links are full-duplex.

## Step 2



Nodes 2, 3 and 4 send copy to their neighbours, except they don't send back to Node 1. Also, they only send 1 copy on each link.

## Step 1



Node 1 sends a copy of packet to all neighbours (2, 3 and 4 ). Packet contains sequence number 1.

## Step 3



Node 6 is the destination, so does not forward the packet. Nodes 2, 3, and 4 have already sent the packet. Node 5 sends the packet to 6 (because 5 does not know 6 has already received packet). Node 6 will discard this packet.

## Flooding Example with Hop Count

Network topology


Same as previous example, except a hop count is included in the packet. Initial value is 2.

Step 1


Node 1 sends a copy of packet to all neighbours (2, 3 and 4). Packet contains sequence number 1 and hop count of 2.

Step 3


Node 6 receives the packet (it's the destination). Nodes 2, 3 and 4 will not forward (already sent). Node 5 will received, decrement the hop count, and since it is 0 , discard the packet.

## Characteristics of Flooding

- With flooding, all possible routes are tried
- (Assuming hop count limit is not used and no failures)
- At least one packet will take the minimum hop route from source to destination
- Example: can be used to setup a virtual circuit. Source sends connect request using flooding, and the switches that forward the connect request record their addresses in the connect request. The destination sends a connect response back along the shortest (minimum hop) path
- All nodes are visited
- Useful for distributing topology information. Example: Switch 1 sends information about its links using flooding. All nodes in the network will eventually learn about the links of Switch 1.
- Flooding is very inefficient
- Need to send many copies of a packet to get 1 packet from source to destination
- Example with hop count: 12 packets transmitted in network
- The best case using minimum hop path is 2 packets (plus any packets needed to determine this path)
- When using hop count limit, the packet may not reach destination!


## Strategy 3: Selective Flooding

- A specific case of flooding, with constraints on which neighbours to send to
- (Normal) Flooding: send to all neighbours
- Selective Flooding: send to a selection of neighbours
- How do you select the neighbours?
- Random flooding (or random routing): randomly select 1 or more output links to send to
- Round Robin: select link 1, then next time link 2, then next time link 3, and so on until all links selected, then return to link 1
- Probability (based on metric): e.g. if link 1 is $1 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ and link 2 is $2 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$, select link 1 with probability $1 / 3$ and select link 2 with probability $2 / 3$
- Characteristics of Selective Flooding
- Similar to flooding, there are no overheads in distributing updates
- More efficient than normal flooding
- But a random route is typically not least cost
- E.g. you may choose a route that has many hops
- Therefore network carries higher than optimal load (but not nearly as high as flooding)


## Selective Flooding Examples



## (Selective) Flooding Summary

- When is a decision made for a route?
- For each packet sent
- Which node chooses the route?
- Distributed (although a route isn't really chosen)
- Where does the network information come from?
- No network information needed
- For probabilistic selective flooding, local information is typically used
- When is the network information updated?
- Never (continuous for probabilistic selective flooding)
- In practice, used for distributing topology information and other network management information to nodes in network (e.g. broadcast to everyone)
- Used by routing protocols to learn about other nodes in the network


## Strategy 4: Adaptive Routing

- Use a least-cost routing algorithm to determine a route, and adapt the route as network conditions change
- At one point in time, route $X$ may be the least-cost route from A to B, and then some time later, route $Y$ may be the least-cost route from $A$ to B
- Adaptive routing is used by almost all packet switching networks, e.g. the Internet
- Adaptive routing requires information about network
- E.g. regular updates on the current amount of traffic and delay of links and routers
- Where does the information come from?
- Option 1: Local to node
- Route to output link that has shortest queue. This is rarely used in practice
- Option 2: Adjacent Nodes
- Adjacent nodes provide information about their delay/link status; node uses a Least-Cost Routing algorithm to determine the best route
- Option 3: All Nodes
- Like Option 2, but now collect information from all nodes in network


## Adaptive Routing

- Advantages:
- Improved performance
- Potentially can select the most suited paths
- Can help with congestion control, because tends to balance amount of traffic across the network
- Disadvantages:
- Decisions more complex (complex algorithms needed to select the best path)
- Tradeoff between quality of network information and overhead
- The more information required for routing decisions, and the more often updates are delivered, then the more overhead in the network
- Reacting too quickly can cause oscillation
- First select path A for packet 1, then path B packet 2, then path A, and so on: varying delays (jitter) and bandwidth; varying loads
- Reacting too slowly means information may be irrelevant
- Select path A based on outdated information, when in fact path B is better


## Routing Protocols and Algorithms

## Routing Protocols

- A routing protocol is used by nodes to automatically determine the routes in the network
- A routing protocol specifies:
- Routing algorithm for determining least-cost routes: e.g. Dijkstra, Bellman-Ford or variants
- Routing information to be exchanged between nodes
- May depend on routing algorithm
- Formats of messages used to deliver routing information
- Rules as to when to send routing messages and what to do upon receiving them
- Metrics to be used in routing algorithm (hop count, bandwidth, ...)
- Optionally, default values of specific parameters may be given
- E.g. time between updates
- Real routing protocols include: OSPF, RIP, BGP, IGRP, EIGRP, PNNI, IS-IS, DSDV, AODV, ...
- You will be introduced to these in later courses


## An Example: Link State Routing

- Lets consider a simple routing protocol that uses Dijkstra's algorithm to determine the least-cost routes
- This is an example of a link state routing protocol
- An alternative type of routing protocol is distance vector which uses algorithms like Bellman Ford for determining least-cost routes
- You may cover details of different routing protocols and algorithms in other courses
- The aim of a link state routing protocol:
- Each node learns the topology of the network, then calculates the leastcost route from itself to every other node using (for example) Dijkstra's algorithm
- The actions taken at each node in a link state routing protocol are:

1. Record the state of its own links (e.g. source/destination, metric)
2. Send the state of its own links to every other node using flooding
3. Form a shortest path tree from itself to every other node

- Dijkstra's algorithm is a common approach for calculating this tree

4. Build a routing table based on the shortest path tree

## Link State Routing: Example Network



## Link State Routing: Step 1

- Each node records the state of its own links
- As this state is going to be sent to other nodes, we will call it a Link State Packet (LSP)
- A Link State Packet typically contains:
- The identity of the current node
- List of links that the current node has, including their costs
- A sequence number (used by the flooding protocol)
- A hop count or age (used by the flooding protocol)
- Remember, that this information changes over time
- Link costs change (e.g. queue delay)

| N1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| To N2 | 2 |
| To N3 | 5 |
| To N4 | 1 |
| From N2 | 3 |
| From N3 | 8 |
| From N4 | 7 |
| Seq $=0$ |  |
| Hop Count $=5$ |  |

- Links fail or new links are created
- Nodes join and leave the network

Upon network startup, N1 only knows about its own links


## Link State Routing: Step 2

- Each node sends its Link State Packet to all other nodes
- Flooding is used
- The result of each node flooding its LSP is:
- Each node knows the current state of links at every other node
- E.g. N1 will eventually receive LSPs from N2, N3, N4, N5 and N6
- That is, each node knows the current network topology
- However, since the LSPs may change over time, a node will send a new LSP depending on its update strategy
- A common approach is to send a new LSP when the topology changes
- Also, periodic updates are possible: e.g. a new LSP is sent every 1 to 2 hours

After Step 2, N1 knows about the entire network topology

## Link State Routing: Step 3

- From the topology, determine the least-cost paths from one node to every other node
- Dijkstra's algorithm finds the shortest path tree for a graph of links and nodes
- The source node (the current node performing the calculation) is the root of the tree
- Shortest path tree is a tree in which the path between the root and every other node is the shortest
- Each node would use Dijkstra's algorithm to find the least-cost paths to all other nodes
- In the following slides we give an informal explanation of Dijkstra's algorithm, and then explain through an example of Node N1 applying the algorithm


## Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Finds shortest paths from given source node $s$ to all other nodes by developing paths in order of increasing path length
- Algorithm runs in stages
- Each time adding a node with next shortest path
- Algorithm terminates when all nodes processed by algorithm (in set $T$ )
- Define:
- $N=$ set of nodes in the network
- $s=$ source node
- $T=$ set of nodes so far incorporated by the algorithm
- $w(i, j)=$ cost from node $i$ to node $j$
- $L(n)=$ cost of least-cost path from node $s$ to node $n$
$-\mathrm{P}(i, j)=$ path from node $i$ to node $j$


## Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Informal explanation of Dijkstra's algorithm applied to finding leastcost routes
- Step 1 [Initialization]
- $T=\{s\}$, set of nodes so far incorporated
- $L(n)=w(s, n)$ for $n \neq s$; initial path costs to neighboring nodes are simply link costs; cost is $\infty$ if link does not exist. $P(s, n)=s-n$.
- Step 2 [Get Next Node]
- Find neighboring node $x$ not in $T$ with least-cost path from $s$
- If two or more nodes have the same least-cost, then randomly choose one of them
- Incorporate node $x$ into $T$
- Step 3 [Update Least-Cost Paths]
- $L(n)=\min [L(n), L(x)+w(x, n)]$ for all $n \notin T$
- If latter term is minimum, path from $s$ to $n$ is path from $s$ to $x$ concatenated with edge from $x$ to $n$. That is: $P(s, n)=P(s, x)+P(x, n)$
- Steps 2 and 3 are repeated until $T=N$


## Dijkstra's Algorithm Example

- Step 1 [Initialisation]
$-T=\{\mathrm{N} 1\}$
- Initial least costs and paths:
- $L(N 2)=2 ; P(N 1, N 2)=N 1-N 2$
- $L(N 3)=5 ; P(N 1, N 3)=N 1-N 3$
- $L(N 4)=1 ; P(N 1, N 4)=N 1-N 4$
- $L(N 5)=\infty ; P(N 1, N 5)=-$
- $L(N 6)=\infty ; P(N 1, N 6)=-$
- Step 2 [Get Next Node]
- The neighbour of N 1 which is not in $T$ with least cost path is N4
- $x=\mathrm{N} 4$ since $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{N} 4)=1$
- $T=\{\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 4\}$
- So now we also consider the links from/to N4
- $w(N 4, N 1)=7$
- $w(N 4, N 2)=2$
- $w(N 4, N 3)=3$
- $w(N 4, N 5)=1$
- Step 3 [Update Least-Cost Paths]
- Determine the new $L()$ :

$$
\text { - } \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{~N} 2) & =\min [2, \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{~N} 4)+\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{~N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 2)] \\
& =\min [2,1+2] \\
& =2(\text { no change }) \\
\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{~N} 3) & =\min [5,1+\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{~N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 3)] \\
& =\min [5,1+3] \\
& =4 \text { (changed) } \\
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 3) & =\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 4)+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 3) \\
& =\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{N} 3
\end{aligned}
$$

- $L(N 5)=\min [\infty, 1+w(N 4, N 5)]$
$=\min [\infty, 1+1]$
$=2$ (changed)
$P(N 1, N 5)=P(N 1, N 4)+P(N 4, N 5)$

$$
=\text { N1-N4-N5 }
$$

- $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{N} 6)=\min [\infty, 1+\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 6)]$

$$
=\infty \text { (no change) }
$$

- Steps 2 and 3 are repeated for Iterations 3, 4, 5 and 6
- Results shown in next slides


## Dijkstra's Algorithm Example

After Initialisation (Step 1):

| Iter. | $\mathbf{T}$ | L(N2) | Path | L(N3) | Path | L(N4) | Path | L(N5) | Path | L(N6) | Path |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{1\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 5 | $1-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | $\infty$ | - | $\infty$ | - |



## Dijkstra's Algorithm Example

After iteration 2 (Steps 2 and 3):

| Iter. | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{N} 2)$ | Path | $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{N} 3)$ | Path | $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{N} 4)$ | Path | L(N5) | Path | L(N6) | Path |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{1\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 5 | $1-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | $\infty$ | - | $\infty$ | - |
| 2 | $\{1,4\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 4 | $1-4-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | $\infty$ | - |



## Dijkstra's Algorithm Example

After iteration 3 (Steps 2 and 3 repeated):

| Iter. | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{N} 2)$ | Path | L(N3) | Path | $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{N} 4)$ | Path | L(N5) | Path | L(N6) | Path |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{1\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 5 | $1-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | $\infty$ | - | $\infty$ | - |
| 2 | $\{1,4\}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $1-2$ | 4 | $1-4-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | $\infty$ | - |
| 3 | $\{1,2,4\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 4 | $1-4-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | $\infty$ | - |



## Dijkstra's Algorithm Example

After iteration 4 (Steps 2 and 3 repeated): :

| Iter. | $\mathbf{T}$ | L(N2) | Path | L(N3) | Path | L(N4) | Path | L(N5) | Path | L(N6) | Path |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{1\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 5 | $1-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | $\infty$ | - | $\infty$ | - |
| 2 | $\{1,4\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 4 | $1-4-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | $\infty$ | - |
| 3 | $\{1,2,4\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 4 | $1-4-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | $\infty$ | - |
| 4 | $\{1,2,4,5\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 3 | $1-4-5-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | 4 | $1-4-5-6$ |



## Dijkstra's Algorithm Example

After iteration 5 (Steps 2 and 3 repeated): :

| Iter. | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{N} 2)$ | Path | $\mathrm{L}(\mathbf{N} 3)$ | Path | $\mathrm{L}(\mathbf{N} 4)$ | Path | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{N} 5)$ | Path | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{N} 6)$ | Path |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{1\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 5 | $1-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | $\infty$ | - | $\infty$ | - |
| 2 | $\{1,4\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 4 | $1-4-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | $\infty$ | - |
| 3 | $\{1,2,4\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 4 | $1-4-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | $\infty$ | - |
| 4 | $\{1,2,4,5\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 3 | $1-4-5-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | 4 | $1-4-5-6$ |
| 5 | $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 3 | $1-4-5-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | 4 | $1-4-5-6$ |



## Dijkstra's Algorithm Example

After iteration 6 (Steps 2 and 3 repeated): :

| Iter. | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{N} 2)$ | Path | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{N} 3)$ | Path | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{N} 4)$ | Path | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{N} 5)$ | Path | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{N} 6)$ | Path |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{1\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 5 | $1-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | $\infty$ | - | $\infty$ | - |
| 2 | $\{1,4\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 4 | $1-4-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | $\infty$ | - |
| 3 | $\{1,2,4\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 4 | $1-4-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | $\infty$ | - |
| 4 | $\{1,2,4,5\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 3 | $1-4-5-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | 4 | $1-4-5-6$ |
| 5 | $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 3 | $1-4-5-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | 4 | $1-4-5-6$ |
| 6 | $\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ | 2 | $1-2$ | 3 | $1-4-5-3$ | 1 | $1-4$ | 2 | $1-4-5$ | 4 | $1-4-5-6$ |

T now contains all nodes (that is $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{N}$ ), hence the algorithm stops. We now have the least cost paths from N1 to all other nodes.


## Link State Routing: Step 4

- Each node builds its routing table based on the shortest path tree
- Remember, no need to store the entire path, only the next node
- Example: routing table for Node 1

| Dest | Next | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N2 | N2 | 2 |
| N3 | N4 | 3 |
| N4 | N4 | 1 |
| N5 | N4 | 2 |
|  |  |  |

(The cost is not necessary, but often included)

- Nodes N2, N3, N4, N5 and N6 would also create their routing tables (from the results of applying Dijkstra's algorithm)
- As new LSPs are received (due to topology changes), Steps 3 and 4 are repeated so that the "best" routes are always used


## Summary - Concepts

- Communication networks are formed by connecting devices across multiple links
- Switching is the method of delivering data between source and destination across multiple links
- Stations or end-user devices act as sources and destinations of data
- Switches connect the links and forward data between source and destination
- Circuit and Packet Switching techniques determine how to deliver data across one or more paths between source and destination
- Routing determines what path to take between source and destination
- The aim is to find the "best" path
- There are different routing metrics, strategies, algorithms and protocols available


## Summary - Practice

- Circuit switching was developed for traditional telephone networks and is still used today in those (and other) networks
- Packet switching was developed to be more efficient for delivering computer generated data over networks
- Packet switching is the concept used in the Internet and in almost all new Wide Area Networks (WANs) today
- Adaptive routing strategies are needed for almost all WANs
- In smaller networks, fixed or flooding strategies are viable
- Dijkstra and Bellman Ford are two of the most common algorithms for determining the shortest path between source and destination
- They, and some variants, are implemented by routing protocols used in the Internet today
- The trade-offs between the different routing protocols often depend on the size of networks, the amount of traffic and the rate at which the network changes

