Routing in Switched Networks

Dr Steve Gordon ICT, SIIT

Contents

- Routing in Switched Networks
 - Requirements of Routing
 - Design Elements
- Routing Strategies
 - Fixed
 - Flooding
 - Random
 - Adaptive
- Routing Protocols and Algorithms
 - An example of Link State Routing
 - Dijsktra's shortest path tree algorithm





Routing in Switched Networks

• A needs to send data to H – which path does it take?



Routing in Switched Networks

- Routing is a key design issue in switched networks
 - Question: What path (route) should be taken from source to destination?
 - Since there is often more than one path possible
 - Answer: Choose the "best" path!
 - What is "best", and how to choose it?
 - Remember, real networks may have 100's to 100,000+ nodes, and many possible paths
- We will look at general routing strategies
 - Routing is applicable to circuit-switched and packet-switched networks
 - We will focus on packet-switched networks in our examples

Requirements of Routing Algorithms

- A routing algorithm/protocol must meet many requirements:
 - Correctness
 - Must choose a path from intended source to intended destination
 - Simplicity
 - Easy and cheap to implement
 - Robustness
 - In presence of errors or overload in some parts of network, network should react to reduce load and find alternate paths
 - Stability
 - New paths (e.g. to avoid overload) should not be too frequent
 - Optimality
 - Choose best paths
 - Fairness
 - Some algorithms may give higher priority to stations close together (shorter paths) than further away (longer paths) maybe efficient, but unfair to stations that want to communicate over long paths
 - Efficiency
 - · Minimise the amount of processing and transmission overhead

Example Network Configuration



Link: a direct connection between two nodes (such as via cable or wireless). E.g. link from N1 to N2 Path (or route): a track or way between two nodes, via one or more links. E.g. a path from N1 to N6 is N1 - N2 - N3 - N6

Hop: traverse a link. E.g. there are 3 hops between N1 and N6 on path N1 – N2 – N3 – N6 Cost: some value assigned to links to allow Least Cost Routing algorithms to find a path Topology: the arrangement of nodes, and their links, in a network

- A route is normally selected based on performance criteria
- A simple criteria: number of hops (select the path with least number of hops)
- In general, *least-cost routing* can be used:
 - Costs are assigned to links, and a routing algorithm selects a path with least cost
 - Cost can be different criteria or metrics:
 - Number of hops
 - Delay
 - Link capacities
 - Throughput (current utilisation)
 - Queue length
 - Error rates
 - Financial cost
 - Security levels
 - Political/legal matters
 - ...

Examples of "Best" Paths



- When and where do you make the routing decision?
 - When to make a decision for a route?
 - 1. For all packets, decision is made for the duration of network
 - 2. For each packet a decision is made (datagram packet switching)
 - 3. When setting up a virtual circuit, a route is chosen (virtual circuit packet switching)
 - Which nodes chooses the route?
 - Centralised: A single central node, e.g. in a Network Control Centre, decides on the routes used by all nodes
 - If central node fails, entire network fails!
 - Distributed: each node selects an output link for incoming packets (robust, but complex)
 - E.g. To send to H, A decides to send to Switch 1; Switch 1 decides to send to Switch 3; Switch 3 decides to send to Switch 5; Switch 5 sends to H
 - Most common approach today
 - Source Routing: source station decides a route
 - E.g. To send to H, A decides the path should be Switch 1 Switch 3 Switch 5

- To make routing decisions, nodes need to know information about the network
 - Topology, link costs, current usage of links and routers, ...
 - How do nodes collect this information and how often is it updated?
 - More frequent updates give more reliable information and hence better routing decisions
 - But increases overhead (consumes network resources)
- Where does the information come from? The source of information may be:
 - None: no information about the network is used. E.g. Switch 1 makes a decision without knowing about other links or nodes in network
 - Local: use the information only known to the current node. E.g. Switch 1 makes a decision based on its 4 input/output links
 - Adjacent node: your neighbour nodes send you information. E.g. Switch 1 makes a decision based on its input/output links, as well as input/output links of Switches 2 and 3
 - Nodes along route: the nodes along the path/route send you information. E.g. Switch 1 makes a decision based on information from Switches 3 and 5.
 - All nodes: all nodes send you information.

- When does the information come? Updating may be:
 - Never: if no information about the network is used, you never update!
 - Continuous: if using local information, essentially you have access all the time. E.g. Switch 1 has continuous knowledge of its own link rates and usage
 - Periodic: at regular intervals. E.g. Switch 3 tells Switch 1 about the current utilisation of links every 1 minute
 - Major load change: in increase/decrease of traffic on a link. E.g. if utilisation of link from Switch 3 to 5 goes above 70%, the Switch 3 tells Switch 1
 - Topology change: a new node is added to or removed from network, or links are changed. E.g. the link from Switch 3 to 4 fails.

Obtaining information about network

- We said nodes often need to know information about the network
 - Topology, link costs, current usage, ...
- And this information may be updated during the network operation
- What are the practical methods for obtaining this information?
 - The network administrator maintains the information:
 - When a network is built, the network administrator knows some of this information
 - When changes are made to the network (e.g. a new link added), the network administrator will be aware of this information
 - In moderate sized to large networks (more than 10's of nodes), it is not practical for a person to manage the network manually
 - Nodes involved in routing must automatically exchange information
 - That is, the network is used to exchange information about the network
- A trade-off in routing algorithms and protocols is:
 - Increasing the amount of routing information (in terms of size and frequency) that is exchanged, results in:
 - Increased accuracy in routing decisions (GOOD)
 - Decreased efficiency, since we use the network for exchanging routing information, instead of sending real data (BAD)

Routing Strategies

Fixed Flooding Random Adaptive

Strategy 1: Fixed Routing

- Use a single permanent route for each source to destination pair
 - E.g. the route from A to H is ALWAYS via Switches 1, 3 and 5
 - The routes are determined using a least cost algorithm
 - E.g. Dijkstra and Bellman-Ford algorithms for shortest path in a graph
 - The metric can be anything. For example: monetary cost, delay, capacity, hops or a combination
 - Route is fixed
 - At least until a change in network topology (node/link added/deleted)
 - Hence cannot respond to traffic changes (e.g. overload in one portion of the network)
 - No difference between routing for datagrams and virtual circuits
 - Advantage is simplicity
 - You assign the routes at the start, and then nothing to do
 - Disadvantage is lack of flexibility
 - When the network is operating, changes in load may mean better routes than initially selected should be used

- Consider the example network. You can manually determine least cost paths from any source to any destination (there are 30 paths in total)
 - N1 to N6: 1 4 5 6 (cost = 4)
 - N2 to N5: 2 4 5 (cost = 3)
 - N4 to N6: 4 5 6 (cost = 3)

. . .

- N6 to N1: 6 - 5 - 4 - 2 - 1 (cost = 10)



- Storing the least cost routes:
 - A route is a path from one node to another
 - E.g. Least cost route from N1 to N6 is: N1 N4 N5 N6
 - A node should have least cost routes to all other nodes in network
 - However, there is no need to store the entire route
 - Each node keeps track of the next node in the least cost route from itself to a destination
 - E.g. N1 knows the next node in the path to destination N6 is N4
 - And N4 knows the next node in the path to destination N6 is N5
- Routing Tables (or Directories)
 - A node S stores a routing table containing two columns:
 - Destination Node, D
 - Next Node in path, N
 - "From source S, in order to reach destination D, send to next node N"
 - (Alternatively, if using centralised routing, a central node may store all this information)

Routing Table (Directory) stored on one centralised node



CENTRAL ROUTING DIRECTORY

Routing Table (Directories) distributed amongst nodes

Node 1 Directory

Destination	Next Node
2	2
3	4
4	4
5	4
6	4

Node 2 Directory

Destination	Next Node
1	1
3	3
4	4
5	4
6	4

Node 3 Directory

Destination	Next Node
1	5
2	5
4	5
5	5
6	5

Node 4 Directory

Destination	Next Node
1	2
2	2
3	5
5	5
6	5

Node 5 Directory									
Destination	Next Node								
1	4								
2	4								
3	3								
4	4								
6	6								

Node 6 Directory

Destination	Next Node
1	5
2	5
3	5
4	5
5	5

Fixed Routing Summary

- When is a decision made for a route?
 - At network startup
- Which node chooses the route?
 - Centralised or distributed
- Where does the network information come from?
 - All nodes
- When is the network information updated?
 - Never
- In practice, only used for small, stable networks (10's of nodes)

Strategy 2: Flooding

- Instead of choosing a route *before* sending the data, just send the data to everyone!
 - It will eventually reach the intended destination
- Flooding:
 - A copy of the original packet is sent to all neighbours of the source (that is, all nodes that have a link to the source)
 - Each node that receives the packet, forwards a copy of the packet to all of its neighbours
- Some simple extensions to improve basic flooding:
 - Don't send back to the node that just sent you the packet
 - Only forward packet once: nodes remember which packets they have forwarded (based on sequence number and source/destination addresses); do not forward a packet if you have previously forwarded that same packet
 - Duplicate detection: each packet has a sequence number, so if destination receives multiple copies of the same packet, it can discard the duplicates
 - Limit the number of hops: include a "hop counter" in the packet; decrement the counter each time the packet is forwarded – if it is 0, then discard the packet
 - Limits the number of packets sent and useful if errors in the network (a packet will not be sent forever)

Flooding Example



except they don't send back to Node 1. Also, they only send 1 copy on each link.

the packet. Node 5 sends the packet to 6 (because 5 does not know 6 has already

received packet). Node 6 will discard this packet.

the packet. Nodes 2, 3, and 4 have already sent

Flooding Example with Hop Count

Network topology



Same as previous example, except a hop count is included in the packet. Initial value is 2.

Step 2



After receiving the packet, nodes 2, 3 and 4 decrement the hop count to 1, check it is not 0, and send to neighbours.



Node 1 sends a copy of packet to all neighbours (2, 3 and 4). Packet contains sequence number 1 and hop count of 2.

Step 3



Node 6 receives the packet (it's the destination). Nodes 2, 3 and 4 will not forward (already sent). Node 5 will received, decrement the hop count, and since it is 0, discard the packet.

Characteristics of Flooding

- With flooding, all possible routes are tried
 - (Assuming hop count limit is not used and no failures)
 - At least one packet will take the minimum hop route from source to destination
 - Example: can be used to setup a virtual circuit. Source sends connect request using flooding, and the switches that forward the connect request record their addresses in the connect request. The destination sends a connect response back along the shortest (minimum hop) path
 - All nodes are visited
 - Useful for distributing topology information. Example: Switch 1 sends information about its links using flooding. All nodes in the network will eventually learn about the links of Switch 1.
- Flooding is very inefficient
 - Need to send many copies of a packet to get 1 packet from source to destination
 - Example with hop count: 12 packets transmitted in network
 - The best case using minimum hop path is 2 packets (plus any packets needed to determine this path)
 - When using hop count limit, the packet may not reach destination!

Strategy 3: Selective Flooding

- A specific case of flooding, with constraints on which neighbours to send to
- (Normal) Flooding: send to all neighbours
- Selective Flooding: send to a selection of neighbours
- How do you select the neighbours?
 - Random flooding (or random routing): randomly select 1 or more output links to send to
 - Round Robin: select link 1, then next time link 2, then next time link 3, and so on until all links selected, then return to link 1
 - Probability (based on metric): e.g. if link 1 is 1Mb/s and link 2 is 2Mb/s, select link
 1 with probability 1/3 and select link 2 with probability 2/3
- Characteristics of Selective Flooding
 - Similar to flooding, there are no overheads in distributing updates
 - More efficient than normal flooding
 - But a random route is typically not least cost
 - E.g. you may choose a route that has many hops
 - Therefore network carries higher than optimal load (but not nearly as high as flooding)

Selective Flooding Examples



(Selective) Flooding Summary

- When is a decision made for a route?
 - For each packet sent
- Which node chooses the route?
 - Distributed (although a route isn't really chosen)
- Where does the network information come from?
 - No network information needed
 - For probabilistic selective flooding, local information is typically used
- When is the network information updated?
 - Never (continuous for probabilistic selective flooding)
- In practice, used for distributing topology information and other network management information to nodes in network (e.g. broadcast to everyone)
 - Used by routing protocols to learn about other nodes in the network

Strategy 4: Adaptive Routing

- Use a least-cost routing algorithm to determine a route, and adapt the route as network conditions change
 - At one point in time, route X may be the least-cost route from A to B, and then some time later, route Y may be the least-cost route from A to B
 - Adaptive routing is used by almost all packet switching networks, e.g. the Internet
- Adaptive routing requires information about network
 - E.g. regular updates on the current amount of traffic and delay of links and routers
 - Where does the information come from?
 - Option 1: Local to node
 - Route to output link that has shortest queue. This is rarely used in practice
 - Option 2: Adjacent Nodes
 - Adjacent nodes provide information about their delay/link status; node uses a Least-Cost Routing algorithm to determine the best route
 - Option 3: All Nodes
 - Like Option 2, but now collect information from all nodes in network

Adaptive Routing

- Advantages:
 - Improved performance
 - Potentially can select the most suited paths
 - Can help with congestion control, because tends to balance amount of traffic across the network
- Disadvantages:
 - Decisions more complex (complex algorithms needed to select the best path)
 - Tradeoff between quality of network information and overhead
 - The more information required for routing decisions, and the more often updates are delivered, then the more overhead in the network
 - Reacting too quickly can cause oscillation
 - First select path A for packet 1, then path B packet 2, then path A, and so on: varying delays (jitter) and bandwidth; varying loads
 - Reacting too slowly means information may be irrelevant
 - Select path A based on outdated information, when in fact path B is better

Routing Protocols and Algorithms

Routing Protocols

- A routing protocol is used by nodes to automatically determine the routes in the network
- A routing protocol specifies:
 - Routing algorithm for determining least-cost routes: e.g. Dijkstra, Bellman-Ford or variants
 - Routing information to be exchanged between nodes
 - May depend on routing algorithm
 - Formats of messages used to deliver routing information
 - Rules as to when to send routing messages and what to do upon receiving them
 - Metrics to be used in routing algorithm (hop count, bandwidth, ...)
 - Optionally, default values of specific parameters may be given
 - E.g. time between updates
- Real routing protocols include: OSPF, RIP, BGP, IGRP, EIGRP, PNNI, IS-IS, DSDV, AODV, ...
 - You will be introduced to these in later courses

An Example: Link State Routing

- Lets consider a simple routing protocol that uses Dijkstra's algorithm to determine the least-cost routes
 - This is an example of a *link state routing* protocol
 - An alternative type of routing protocol is *distance vector* which uses algorithms like Bellman Ford for determining least-cost routes
 - You may cover details of different routing protocols and algorithms in other courses
- The aim of a link state routing protocol:
 - Each node learns the topology of the network, then calculates the leastcost route from itself to every other node using (for example) Dijkstra's algorithm
- The actions taken at each node in a link state routing protocol are:
 - 1. Record the state of its own links (e.g. source/destination, metric)
 - 2. Send the state of its own links to every other node using flooding
 - 3. Form a shortest path tree from itself to every other node
 - Dijkstra's algorithm is a common approach for calculating this tree
 - 4. Build a routing table based on the shortest path tree

Link State Routing: Example Network



- Each node records the state of its own links
 - As this state is going to be sent to other nodes, we will call it a *Link* State Packet (LSP)
- A Link State Packet typically contains:
 - The identity of the current node
 - List of links that the current node has, including their costs
 - A sequence number (used by the flooding protocol)
 - A hop count or age (used by the flooding protocol)
- Remember, that this information changes over time
 - Link costs change (e.g. queue delay)
 - Links fail or new links are created
 - Nodes join and leave the network

Upon network startup, N1 only knows – about its own links

ITS 323 - Routing in Switched Networks

N1	
To N2	2
To N3	5
To N4	1
From N2	3
From N3	8
From N4	7
Seq = ()
Hop Coun	t = 5

33



- Each node sends its Link State Packet to all other nodes
 - Flooding is used
- The result of each node flooding its LSP is:
 - Each node knows the current state of links at every other node
 - E.g. N1 will eventually receive LSPs from N2, N3, N4, N5 and N6
 - That is, each node knows the current network topology
- However, since the LSPs may change over time, a node will send a new LSP depending on its update strategy
 - A common approach is to send a new LSP when the topology changes
 - Also, periodic updates are possible: e.g. a new LSP is sent every 1 to 2 hours



- From the topology, determine the least-cost paths from one node to every other node
 - Dijkstra's algorithm finds the shortest path tree for a graph of links and nodes
 - The source node (the current node performing the calculation) is the root of the tree
 - Shortest path tree is a tree in which the path between the root and every other node is the shortest
 - Each node would use Dijkstra's algorithm to find the least-cost paths to all other nodes
 - In the following slides we give an informal explanation of Dijkstra's algorithm, and then explain through an example of Node N1 applying the algorithm

Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Finds shortest paths from given source node *s* to all other nodes by developing paths in order of increasing path length
 - Algorithm runs in stages
 - Each time adding a node with next shortest path
 - Algorithm terminates when all nodes processed by algorithm (in set T)
- Define:
 - *N* = set of nodes in the network
 - s = source node
 - T = set of nodes so far incorporated by the algorithm
 - -w(i, j) = cost from node i to node j
 - L(n) = cost of least-cost path from node *s* to node *n*
 - P(i, j) = path from node *i* to node *j*

Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Informal explanation of Dijkstra's algorithm applied to finding leastcost routes
 - Step 1 [Initialization]
 - *T* = {*s*}, set of nodes so far incorporated
 - L(n) = w(s, n) for n ≠ s; initial path costs to neighboring nodes are simply link costs; cost is ∞ if link does not exist. P(s,n) = s n.
 - Step 2 [Get Next Node]
 - Find neighboring node x not in T with least-cost path from s
 - If two or more nodes have the same least-cost, then randomly choose one of them
 - Incorporate node x into T
 - Step 3 [Update Least-Cost Paths]
 - $L(n) = \min[L(n), L(x) + w(x, n)]$ for all $n \notin T$
 - If latter term is minimum, path from s to n is path from s to x concatenated with edge from x to n. That is: P(s,n) = P(s,x) + P(x,n)
 - Steps 2 and 3 are repeated until T = N

- Step 1 [Initialisation]
 - $T = \{N1\}$
 - Initial least costs and paths:
 - L(N2) = 2; P(N1,N2) = N1-N2
 - L(N3) = 5; P(N1,N3) = N1-N3
 - L(N4) = 1; P(N1,N4) = N1-N4
 - L(N5) = ∞; P(N1,N5) = -
 - L(N6) = ∞; P(N1,N6) = -
- Step 2 [Get Next Node]
 - The neighbour of N1 which is not in *T* with least cost path is N4
 - *x* = N4 since L(N4) = 1
 - $T = \{N1, N4\}$
 - So now we also consider the links from/to N4
 - w(N4, N1) = 7
 - w(N4, N2) = 2
 - w(N4, N3) = 3
 - w(N4, N5) = 1
 - ...

- Step 3 [Update Least-Cost Paths]
 - Determine the new L():
 - L(N2) = min[2, L(N4)+w(N4,N2)]

= min[2, 1 + 2] = 2 (no change) • L(N3) = min[5, 1 + w(N4, N3)] = min[5, 1 + 3] = 4 (changed) P(N1,N3) = P(N1,N4) + P(N4,N3) = N1-N4-N3 • L(N5) = min[∞ , 1 + w(N4,N5)] = min[∞ , 1+1] = 2 (changed) P(N1,N5) = P(N1,N4) + P(N4,N5) = N1-N4-N5

• L(N6) = min[∞, 1 + w(N4,N6)]

= ∞ (no change)

- Steps 2 and 3 are repeated for Iterations 3, 4, 5 and 6
 - Results shown in next slides

After Initialisation (Step 1):

lter.	т	L(N2)	Path	L(N3)	Path	L(N4)	Path	L(N5)	Path	L(N6)	Path
1	{1}	2	1-2	5	1-3	1	1-4	x	-	x	-



After iteration 2 (Steps 2 and 3):

lter.	т	L(N2)	Path	L(N3)	Path	L(N4)	Path	L(N5)	Path	L(N6)	Path
1	{1}	2	1-2	5	1-3	1	1-4	x	-	∞	-
2	{1,4}	2	1-2	4	1-4-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	∞	-



After iteration 3 (Steps 2 and 3 repeated):

Iter.	т	L(N2)	Path	L(N3)	Path	L(N4)	Path	L(N5)	Path	L(N6)	Path
1	{1}	2	1-2	5	1-3	1	1-4	œ	-	~	-
2	{1,4}	2	1-2	4	1-4-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	~	-
3	{1, 2, 4}	2	1-2	4	1-4-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	∞	-



After iteration 4 (Steps 2 and 3 repeated): :

Iter.	т	L(N2)	Path	L(N3)	Path	L(N4)	Path	L(N5)	Path	L(N6)	Path
1	{1}	2	1-2	5	1-3	1	1-4	œ	-	00	-
2	{1,4}	2	1-2	4	1-4-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	~	-
3	{1, 2, 4}	2	1-2	4	1-4-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	œ	-
4	{1, 2, 4, 5}	2	1-2	3	1-4-5-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	4	1-4-5-6



After iteration 5 (Steps 2 and 3 repeated): :

lter.	т	L(N2)	Path	L(N3)	Path	L(N4)	Path	L(N5)	Path	L(N6)	Path
1	{1}	2	1-2	5	1-3	1	1-4	x	-	00	-
2	{1,4}	2	1-2	4	1-4-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	œ	-
3	{1, 2, 4}	2	1-2	4	1-4-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	~	-
4	{1, 2, 4, 5}	2	1-2	3	1-4-5-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	4	1-4-5-6
5	{1, 2, 3, 4, 5}	2	1-2	3	1-4-5-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	4	1-4-5-6



After iteration 6 (Steps 2 and 3 repeated): :

lter.	т	L(N2)	Path	L(N3)	Path	L(N4)	Path	L(N5)	Path	L(N6)	Path
1	{1}	2	1-2	5	1-3	1	1-4	x	-	x	-
2	{1,4}	2	1-2	4	1-4-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	œ	-
3	{1, 2, 4}	2	1-2	4	1-4-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	x	-
4	{1, 2, 4, 5}	2	1-2	3	1-4-5-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	4	1-4-5-6
5	{1, 2, 3, 4, 5}	2	1-2	3	1-4-5-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	4	1-4-5-6
6	{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}	2	1-2	3	1-4-5-3	1	1-4	2	1-4-5	4	1-4-5-6

T now contains all nodes (that is T = N), hence the algorithm stops. We now have the least cost paths from N1 to all other nodes.



- Each node builds its routing table based on the shortest path tree
 - Remember, no need to store the entire path, only the next node
 - Example: routing table for Node 1

Dest	Next	Cost		
N2	N2	2		
N3	N4	3		
N4	N4	1		
N5	N4	2		

(The cost is not necessary, but often included)

- Nodes N2, N3, N4, N5 and N6 would also create their routing tables (from the results of applying Dijkstra's algorithm)
- As new LSPs are received (due to topology changes), Steps 3 and 4 are repeated so that the "best" routes are always used

Summary – Concepts

- Communication networks are formed by connecting devices across
 multiple links
- Switching is the method of delivering data between source and destination across multiple links
 - Stations or end-user devices act as sources and destinations of data
 - Switches connect the links and forward data between source and destination
- Circuit and Packet Switching techniques determine how to deliver data across one or more paths between source and destination
- Routing determines what path to take between source and destination
 - The aim is to find the "best" path
- There are different routing metrics, strategies, algorithms and protocols available

Summary – Practice

- Circuit switching was developed for traditional telephone networks and is still used today in those (and other) networks
- Packet switching was developed to be more efficient for delivering computer generated data over networks
- Packet switching is the concept used in the Internet and in almost all new Wide Area Networks (WANs) today
- Adaptive routing strategies are needed for almost all WANs
 - In smaller networks, fixed or flooding strategies are viable
- Dijkstra and Bellman Ford are two of the most common algorithms for determining the shortest path between source and destination
 - They, and some variants, are implemented by routing protocols used in the Internet today
 - The trade-offs between the different routing protocols often depend on the size of networks, the amount of traffic and the rate at which the network changes